

No. ID/FD/65/82/28310.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Uday Kant Jha and the management of M/s Rana Textile Weaving Mills 61, Industrial Estate, Sector 6, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act the matters specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication.

Whether the termination of service of Shri Uday Kant Jha was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/HSR/20/82/28317.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Amir Singh and the management of M/s Haryana Veterinary Vaccine Institute, Hissar, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Rohtak constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—*vide* Government notification No. 3864-ASO(E)-Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication.

Whether the termination of services of Shri Amir Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/HSR/55/82/28323.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Kuldeep Singh and the management of M/s Sirsa District Co-operative Labour and construction Union Ltd. Courts Road, Sirsa regarding the matter hereinafter appearing:

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Rohtak constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947,—*vide* Government notification No. 3864-ASO(E)-Lab/70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970, the matters specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman, for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Kuldeep Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

The 24th June, 1982

No. ID/FD/62/82/28407.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial Disputes exists between the workman Shri Dalip Singh and the management of Managing Director, Crack Detectives Pvt. Ltd. Tourist Guest House M/s Room No. 6 Mathura Road, Ballabgarh 2. M/s Lakhami Rubber works, Plot No. 234, Sector 24, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act the matters specified below, being either in dispute matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication.

Whether the termination of service of Shri Dalip Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?